CITY OF GROVE CITY, OHIO
COUNCIL MINUTES

April 07, 2025 — Special Meeting

The special meeting of Council was called to order by President Houk at 5:30 p.m. in City Hall, 4035 Broadway.

Roll was called and the following members were present:

Jodi Burroughs, Melissa Anderson, Anthony Wilson, Christine Houk, Rodney Dew

1. Ms. Houk moved to excuse Mr. Berry; seconded by Ms. Burroughs.

Ms. Burroughs	Yes
Ms. Anderson	Yes
Mr. Wilson	Yes
Ms. Houk	Yes
Mr. Holt	Yes
Mr. Berry	Yes
Mr. Dew	Yes

2. Ms. Houk explained that this is an informal discussion about the Form of Government and the report given by the Charter Review Committee.

* Editor's Note – Mr. Berry arrived at 5:33 p.m.

Ms. Burroughs voiced support for a City Manager form of government. She said she feels the symbol of a Mayor is still important to the community and the Report shared that the Mayor could either be voted on by the residents or appointed by Council. She thinks this would need to be worked out. While her first choice is City Manager, some type of hybrid could work with appointments of boards/commissions being approved by Council.

Ms. Houk said the Charter Review Committee report recognized that there should be some change. She thanked Mayor Stage for putting a form on the website to ask for volunteers for boards and commissions and addressing that now, which didn't need a Charter change. She said she feels strongly about the limitations of a Strong Mayor form of government. She believes there is some insulation from politics for employees with a City Manager form. She said she is weighing this conversation against discussions they are having about some very large projects. She believes that this over-arching conversation may not have the sense of urgency that other issues have. There is a need for Council to come to a conclusion about the form of government. This is a matter of timing and the immense amount of work that needs to be done in this issue alone may not be ready at this time.

Mayor Stage said he believes the question about boards & commissions could be solved by Council in two meetings. He suggested that they draft an ordinance to ratify the appointments of the Mayor and he will sign it. He said it would be a test before sending a Charter amendment to the voters. He said he felt the question put to the Charter Review Committee was too narrow and they should have had the broadest perspective possible. For example, there is a need to address the issue of the Township, as we are the biggest part of Jackson Township. He said changing the Constitution of our City is a big deal and we should pick and choose the things that are going to be the most impactful and most prosperous for the next 50 years.

Ms. Anderson commented that there was a large sentiment in the report for some change. She said with the large growth of the community, there is a benefit with a change that helps continue that growth. She appreciates and respects the Mayor for suggesting that there could be changes brought forth now. She agrees that there is a lot of education that needs to go out to the community and believes it would be beneficial if there was a consensus among Council. As for the township, she said there is a lot there to unpack and she would love additional information. She said she has a passion for a City Manager form of government to carry us into the future and feels Council should appoint the Mayor under that form.

Mayor Stage commented that the Mayor is really more than ceremonial. He said next to Issue 1, the next issue voted on most was for the Mayor last election. He said their job is to represent the community as a whole and there were 16,000 voters who took pride in voting for a Mayor. In addition, in a City Manager form of government, there are partisan races and now we are non-partisan.

Ms. Houk said her issue with a Strong Mayor form is the appointing of a City Administrator and Department Heads. With one elected official hiring these positions, she feels that culture could be bad depending on who gets elected. She thinks there would be a level of protection for employees if that were different. She said she also believes in the concept of a Strategic Plan where everyone is around the table and discusses the vision, mission, and values. That document would be adopted by Council and may create a harmony with everyone working from the same plan. It is an extra effort to build a connection between everyone. It may allow us to act like we are in a City manager form without making that change.

Mr. Wilson said if we do not take into consideration what the Charter Review Committee said, it will be difficult to get residents to volunteer in the future.

Mr. Boso, City Admin., commented that there are 253 employees and the majority are civil service. The Mayor appoints 11 people. A City Manager would appoint their people, so the argument about employees does not hold water.

Mr. Dew said there are a couple of big items that Council agreed on and they both will take a lot of work. He said the one that all members agreed on was the Community Center. He said we need to get started now because it won't get realized until 2029. He feel this will never get done if it isn't addressed now.

Mr. Berry said the Report makes suggestions for other Charter changes. He said they should give the citizens the right to vote on these issues – rec. center, library, Charter changes - all the issues. He said they need to come to an agreement on what they are going to do, whether it is a hybrid or city manager form of government. He said we have that responsibility to the Committee and Park Board.

Mr. Holt said he thinks he would drive towards the City Manager form of government. He does like having a Mayor as the primary source of information. He said it is the single elected position that controls the department heads, and that may not change with a City Manager, but projects/actions that the Mayor supports get priority. Projects/actions that are not supported by the Mayor do not get priority, even when Council makes statements of support for certain projects.

Mr. Berry suggested a work session for Council to review the Charter in its entirety. Several Council Members agreed.

Ms. Houk said this exercise was meant to learn how we can communicate more and work better together.

2. After closing comments from Council and Administrative staff members, a motion to adjourn was approved by unanimous consent.

Adjourned at 6:30 p.m.

Tami K. Kelly, MMC
Clerk of Council

Christine Houk
President of Council

CITY OF GROVE CITY, OHIO
COUNCIL MINUTES

April 07, 2025 Regular Meeting

The regular meeting of Council was called to order by President Houk at 7:00 p.m. in City Hall, 4035 Broadway.

Roll was called and the following members were present:

Jodi Burroughs, Melissa Anderson, Anthony Wilson, Christine Houk, Ted Berry, Rodney Dew

1. Ms. Houk moved to approve the minutes of the 03/17 meeting and approve as written; seconded by Mr. Dew.

Ms. Burroughs	Yes
Ms. Anderson	Yes
Mr. Wilson	Yes
Ms. Houk	Yes
Mr. Holt	Yes
Mr. Berry	Yes
Mr. Dew	Yes

2. The Chair read the agenda items and they were approved by unanimous consent.

The Chair recognized Ms. Burroughs, Chair of Lands, for discussion and voting under said Committee.

1. Ordinance C-10-25 (Approve a Special Use Permit for a Marijuana Dispensary for Ohio Cannabis Company located at 1947 Stringtown Road) was given its second reading and public hearing.

Mr. Brian Wingfield, petitioner, shared that they are a family-owned group of dispensaries with ones soon to open in Columbus and Dublin. He explained that Ohio will have 340 dispensaries, with 45 in Franklin County. Grove City voted to allow two (2) dispensaries to be located in their community. He said the host community will receive 36% of the Adult Use Tax. He said weekly averages are 1,850 customers, which averages out to 264 customers per day. He said that is about the same number of customers who currently visit China Bell, so the volume of traffic should be the same. He said they establish and maintain a positive relationship with local law enforcement and their current locations have and no calls to police. He said they would like to have a pick-up window for pre-ordered items. He shared pictures of their current locations. He stated that they meet and follow all the State requirements as well as following all the Local requirements, except they are asking for a variance to reduce the 500' setback to under 370' and a variance to allow for a pick-up window for medical patients only. *Ms. Burroughs* asked what the difference is between medical and recreational marijuana. Mr. Wingfield said that basically, the Adult Use Tax does not apply to medical marijuana. She asked if a concealed-carry can be brought into the dispensary. Mr. Smith, Dir. of Law, said that would fall under a different set of laws, but it does impact those who can carry a weapon. *Ms. Anderson* asked if all their stores look the same. Mr. Wingfield said the three stores shown are new. This building will be renovated. *Mr. Wilson* asked about the Vape Stores that sell intoxicating cannabis products, which are 300' or less from residential. He asked what the difference was between vape shops and what the dispensary sells. Mr. Wingfield said vape shops sell cannabis sativa – which is a cloned plant that is produced one month prior to harvest. He said THC does not

show up in this process. He compared it to buying a green banana. He said there are no regulations for sativa products. Ohio has the most stringent testing policies and while they test sativa it is not at the same levels. *Mr. Dew* asked how many employees are anticipated. Mr. Wingfield said they would have 20 – 30 employees, with half of them being full-time. *Mr. Holt* clarified the locations in Franklin County; that the traffic would be similar to China Bell, which has a traffic light; and that there have been no safety issues at their current locations. The State has 500 guidelines that must be met and Grove City added about 17 more. He said there is one regulations being requested to vary from and Council has granted variances from the Code for other developments. *Ms. Houk* questioned the traffic counts provided, as they were average counts for Ohio dispensaries. She asked if it wouldn't be necessary to understand the traffic around the specific dispensary, which is not addressed in the numbers given. Mr. Wingfield said she was correct. He said he can't predict the future and he used the data he had. *Mr. Berry* asked if he was aware that this property is in a tax abated area. Mr. Wingfield said he was just made aware of that. Mr. Berry asked if any of his other dispensaries were in a tax abated area. Mr. Wingfield said no. *Mr. Rauch, Dir. of Dev.,* explained the tax abatement. He said this is one of the most valuable and vital tools they have to drive development to the City. He said this is a very prominent site and why they hold the site in such regard. He said Planning Commission did not feel this use was appropriate for this site. He said was Staff was not comfortable recommending a variance to the Code since it is newly established, with no history. He said they didn't work with the applicant to find a site because they had to have a site lined-up to apply to the State. He said there are plans to use only a third of the building. So, using the National Traffic Engineers Association counts for this type of use and the square footage of the building used, this will generate 612 trips per day. This is more intense than a sit down restaurant but less than a fast-food restaurant. *Mr. Smith, Dir. of Law*, said the applicant is correct that there is revenue that is supposed to go to host communities, however the State is sitting on $10 million and has no intention of distributing it to those municipalities. He said House Bill 160 moved through the House last week and it removes the revenue to host communities. *Mr. Holt* said this isn't here for the tax revenue. We are here because 60% of Grove City voted for legalizing recreational marijuana. He said we are looking to locate the first dispensary and doesn't feel that the distance from residential is a factor.

Mr. Kevin Teaford, Dir. of Safety, said he worked with the Law Director to propose meaningful and fair regulations for dispensaries. After careful consideration and debate, Council approved 22 regulations – most of which are safety related. They were created to balance the economic benefits of cannabis businesses with the responsibility of ensuring public safety and traffic efficiency. These measures are essential for maintaining community, patron and staff safety. Also, traffic and pedestrian safety needs considered. Stringtown Road, between I-71 & Gantz Rd. is the single highest crash area in the City. The integrity of the surrounding businesses and neighborhoods must be protected and the current regulations help maintain a balance between commercial interests and the quality of life for our residents. He said these regulations were enacted through a thoughtful and public process to safeguard the community. Rolling back or weakening these restrictions would disregard the careful planning that went into ensuring cannabis retail centers would operate responsibly and safely. These regulations are here to ensure that cannabis businesses can operate successfully while ensuring the well-being of our residents and visitors. *Mr. Holt* asked what safety measures are taken for Smoke Shops that sell HEMP. Mr. Teaford said they take every measure legally possible.

Mr. Doug Scoles, 30-year resident, voiced opposition. He shared scientific studies to support the negative and harmful impact of marijuana. He believes there will be more traffic incidents in this high volume area. He shared the increased crime rates in Denver since dispensaries were allowed there and said this use will require more safety resources. He asked Council to vote no.

Ms. Julie Oyster, lifelong resident and Chair of Planning Commission, said Grove City has won "Best Home Town' three (3) times because of our strong community spirit & health and safety. It is known as a family friendly, safe and vibrant community. She shared that Council had an emergency meeting to approve the regulations for dispensaries and this happened for a reason. She said Planning Commission has turned this down unanimously twice, due to the site – too many unknowns, goes against the 2050 Plan, and is in violation of one of the City Code regulations. She said Council should have received letters, e-mails and petitions from 593 concerned citizens voicing opposition.

Ms. Michelle Long, voices strong support for this business. She said this is about economic development, fairness, and respecting the will of the people. She believes it would contribute positively to the community and the location would get revitalized at an intersection that has a traffic light. She feels the 500 feet regulation is a minor discrepancy and should not outweigh the benefits of this business. She requested responsible development in the City.

Ms. Courtney Clark said she was very disappointed to learn of this dispensary. She said she is a registered voter and votes in every election and while 57% of Ohio voters voted to legalize recreational marijuana she does not believe that most of Grove City would vote for a dispensary in their hometown, on the main corridor. Despite the law preventing smoking weed while driving, she smells it all the time. Statistics show that being enhibriated by cannabis slows and restricts driver's abilities. She asked how the City is going to address the safety and traffic concerns in this area.

Ms. Carla Gersper, associate of China Bell, voiced support for the Shu family. She said Gary's family has not had the time to grieve his passing properly. She reminded everyone of all the support Gary did for this community. She said Gary was negotiating the sale of the restaurant and property and told her it was time to retire. She said many Grove City residents use marijuana and she asked that Council pass this ordinance in Gary Shu's memory.

Mr. Jim Haninger, resident and registered pharmasist, said there is a totally different concentration of THC in HEMP than in street marijuana. He asked if there are 45 dispensaries around Ohio, why do we need one here. He said the majority of Grove City voters may have voted to legalize marijuana in the State, but they didn't vote for a dispensary in Grove City.

Ms. Keely Thomas, resident, said her brother died due to drugs and marijuana was his platform. She said by approving this, we are telling our kids it is o.k. to use drugs. She said 65.8% of youth use marijuana. She doesn't want this for our town. She asked where the proof is that Grove City voted for a dispensary in the community.

Mr. Jason Gale, resident, veteran and first responder, shared a story of him stopping to help a driver and when he opened the car door, marijuana smoke poured out. When he looked in the backseat there were two (2) babies. He said those babies depended on their parents to defend them against something they knew nothing about. He said it is the job of a Council Member is to defend/protect their citizens against something they don't see coming. That is why Council voted on restrictions for dispensaries. He said to make an exception on Code restrictions that they put in place to protect the citizens s is a violation of their Oath of Office. He said when exceptions are made to a safety restriction, people get hurt. He asked that they vote "no", so that the people in the apartment complex behind the proposed location are protected from something they may not know is coming. He said the older generation in this community care about the younger generation and he asked that they vote "no".

Ms. Sally Weisenberger, resident said she disagrees with one of the slides shown by the petitioner. She said she takes a lot of medication and never has any of her doctors suggested she take marijuana. She said that just because marijuana got passed in Ohio, it doesn't make it a good thing. She read the effects of marijuana on the body, according to the CDC. She said none of these effects are positive and it is only common sense to keep this far from our community. She wonders if our Council Members have common sense and asked that they vote "no".

Ms. Michelle Paduchik, resident, said she was a teacher at Central Crossing High School and saw the increase of marijuana use, but the Administration does a wonderful job to take care of it. Now, she is a counselor in Reynoldsburg City Schools, where the community has a dispensary. She said it is very bad and what people are telling you about the effects is true. She said she sees, firsthand, students who have high anxiety, can't focus, and are failing. She said she does not want to see this in our community.

Ms. Julie Liskany, resident and business owner, said that Council has heard from many residents voicing concerns over this dispensary. She said, as a resident who sent an e-mail and received no response, she is curious how Council can represent the community without responding to resident comments and concerns. She shared statistics that Ohio is the fifth largest state involved in human trafficking and labor trafficking in the U.S. Labor trafficking in the cannabis industry is a concealed epidemic in this industry. According to an NBC report, 70 – 80% of marijuana sold in dispensaries is grown illegally. She said the only benefit to our city are the tax dollars. However, if the property is tax abated, how does this help anyone? She asked why Council voted to amend the dispensary regulations; has Ohio Cannabis Co. been vetted for trafficking violations; what safeguards are being put into place by the City. She said as Council Members, they make decisions that affect the growth and progress of our City. She asked that they vote no on this proposal.

Mr. George Holinga, resident stated that while 57% of the State voted to legalize marijuana, 57% of Germany voted for Hitler and we see how that turned out. He said you can't go against the 57%, but you can determine where a dispensary goes or whether or not there is one. He said he has been blessed to assist his daughter start a drug addiction clinic in Cincinnati. He said during that time, there wasn't one person that he checked-in that didn't start with marijuana. That is first-hand knowledge. He said he wonders if a dispensary is necessary with all the pharmacies we have here.

Ms. Amy Hirth, resident, said she is coming to represent the vast majority of residents that she spoke to and gathered signatures on a petition to vote "no" on this request. She shared comments from those residents. She provided the petitions with 560+ signatures asking for a "no" vote. She said these are the voices that elected Council and entrusted each of them to make sound decisions that are in the best interest and safety of the citizens. She said in her 60 years as a resident she has never felt compelled to speak on an issue until now. She said if you remove the dollar signs from this proposal, please consider what remains. She said if a dispensary is approved the citizens and the Council Members must be prepared for the consequences.

Mr. Dennis Hunter, resident voiced support for the dispensary at Stringtown Road. He said the majority of Grove City residents voted for recreational marijuana, but a majority in the room are against it. He said the majority of voters should rule.

Ms. Kylie Jett, voiced support and said dispensaries provide access to safe, regulated products that generate significant tax revenue which can be reinvested in the community. It would also reduce the need for residents to travel to other communities – keeping those dollars within the city limits. She

feels that with the right regulations and community input, a dispensary can be a responsible, well-managed and beneficial part of our City.

Mr. Carter Dowell, resident voiced support and feels it is easy revenue for the City. He said the petitioner has a good record and works with the police. He said if it has already been decided that Grove City will have two dispensaries, it sounds like this is the perfect candidate to choose. He said he lost his mother to a drug overdose and the reason was because she got it off the streets. He encouraged all members to vote in favor and allow Ms. Shu to sell her property.

Ms. Lisa Feldman, resident, voiced support for the sale of China Bell. She said alcohol is also a gateway drug. By putting a dispensary here, you take away the naughtiness away. Kids like to be naughty. The City should not stand in the way of the Shu family to sell their business.

Mr. Ron McClure, resident and Jackson Township Trustee, voiced concern about the direction that the City is heading. He said having a dispensary in the community leads to higher use of marijuana and shared statistics to support his statement and support the fact that most do not want a dispensary in their community. He asked Council why they want the dispensary. He said the Township wanted to mirror the City's marijuana ordinance and in 2024 passed a regulation to prohibit this use. He said he thinks it is loud and clear what the residents want and it is not to late to do the right thing.

Mr. Brian Parks, resident, voiced support for the dispensary and believes alcohol has more risk than cannabis. He said this is a legal business that China Bell is trying to sell to and doesn't feel it's Council's place to stop that transaction. He asked Council to vote "yes".

Ms. Chris Moore voiced opposition to the ordinance. She said she has friends who want to move out of Grove City because of safety issues. She said she doesn't understand why anyone would want a dispensary in the community at all. She said this is right off the freeway and asks if this would increase or decrease our safety. She shared statistics that show increased crime and lower health. She asked what Grove City wants to be known for. She said we need positive growth and good moral values. She asied that they protect our kids and make the best moral decision.

Mayor Stage asked about the petition and e-mails received. Ms. Kelly, Clerk of Council, acknowledged receipt 86 e-mails received in the Council office specific to C-10-25 and receipt of petitions with 593 signatures in opposition. Mayor Stage questioned a dispensary in Dublin. Mr. Smith, Dir. of Law, said Dublin did prohibit dispensaries in Dublin proper.

Ms. Burroughs reviewed the timeline of events with respect to marijuana becoming legal and local legislation adopted leading up to this point. She said the 500' restriction from residential use was used because it was the same distance from other State restrictions. She said Council was not given a map to show how restrictive this distance would be at the time. She said this process allows for waivers of certain restrictions and is hopeful that the developer will bring the property up to Code, if approved. A special use permit would be needed for a drive-thru window.

Ms. Houk said she feels that this is in complete contradiction with the Mental Health & Wellness work done and with the quality of life items that the City has enhanced. She said this also conflicts with the Action Plan of the mental health and wellness committee. She said this is not the right thing for economic development in the City. At some point, you have to make a choice for what you want to be known for and what is the City's brand. She said Council discussed the standards for marijuana and she stands behind them. She said she doesn't feel this should be before Council tonight. She said we are not ready, as the State standards are still in flux. She said there may be a

need for a referendum, as she believes a vote for marijuana in Ohio is not a vote for a dispensary in Grove City. She said a Special Use Permit is an opportunity for Council to look at a specific use for a property. She read the standards for a Special Use Permit, which include: the use shalls be in harmony and in character with the district; shall not adversley affect the health, safety, or morals of those residing or working in the neighborhood; it shall not impose a traffic impact significantly different than those in the district. She said she doesn't believe this intersection can meet the demands of an in-and-out business. It is not a good site. She said this is tied to the complete package of our standards, not just marijuana.

Mr. Berry said everyone has the right to lead their own lives and parent as they see fit. He said he polled his Ward and found that 57% were o.k. with legalizing marijuana, but 69% do not want a dispensary in Grove City. He said he received a map from the Development Dept. that shows areas that a dispensary would be permissable. He said what really bothers him about this location is it is in a tax abated area. He believes in the regulations passed by Council and that Council has to make decisions for the good of the community, not just one property owner. He said Council set a criteria and we do have places that meet that criteria. He said he does not believe we should be tax abating a dispensary.

Ms. Anderson pointed out that the 500' restriction next to residential was not supported by all members at the time, even though they agreed to all regulations as a whole. She said she feels it is too soon to permit any type of pick-up window and wants to clarify that point, since it was part of the application. Mr. Smith, Dir. of Law, said even though there is a drive-thru window for the restaurant today, this use is a change from the original and there is no information about it with the application. Ms. Anderson said if this were to pass this evening, she would like to exclude the drive-thru window and moved to amend Section 1 of C-10-25 to include a stipulation to state that no drive-thru shall be permitted without an additional special use permit; seconded by Ms. Houk.

Ms. Anderson	Yes
Mr. Wilson	Yes
Ms. Houk	Yes
Mr. Holt	Yes
Mr. Berry	Yes
Mr. Dew	Yes
Ms. Burroughs	Yes

Mr. Holt clarified that there is no need to add a stipulation to waive the 500' from residential. Mr. Smith confirmed by virtue of approving the ordinance, the waiver would be granted.

There being no additional questions or comments, Ms. Burroughs moved it be approved; seconded by Mr. Holt.

Mr. Wilson	Yes
Ms. Houk	No
Mr. Holt	Yes
Mr. Berry	No
Mr. Dew	No
Ms. Burroughs	Yes
Ms. Anderson	Yes

The Chair recognized Mr. Dew, Chair of Service, for discussion and voting under said

Committee.

1. Ordinance C-11-25 (Vacate a Sanitary Sewer Easement) was given its second reading and public hearing.

Mr. Smith, Dir. of Law, explained that this easement has gone unused since 1988 and the property owner requested that this easement be vacated. It is not needed for any reason.

There being no additional questions or comments, Mr. Dew moved it be approved; seconded by Ms. Houk.

Ms. Houk	Yes
Mr. Holt	Yes
Mr. Berry	Yes
Mr. Dew	Yes
Ms. Burroughs	Yes
Ms. Anderson	Yes
Mr. Wilson	Yes

The Chair recognized Mr. Holt, Chair of Finance, for discussion and voting under said Committee.

1. Ordinance C-12-25 (Authorize the City Administrator to convey Excess Property that is no longer needed for City business) was given its first reading. Second reading and public hearing will be held on April 21, 2025.

The Chair recognized Mr. Berry, Chair of Parks, for discussion and voting under said Committee.

1. Resolution CR-12-25 (Appoint members to the Site Selection Committee and the Finance Committee for the proposed City-Operated Community Center) was given its reading and public hearing and Mr. Berry moved it be approved; seconded by Ms. Houk.

Mr. Holt	Yes
Mr. Berry	Yes
Mr. Dew	Yes
Ms. Burroughs	Yes
Ms. Anderson	Yes
Mr. Wilson	Yes
Ms. Houk	Yes

2. Resolution CR-13-25 (Name the West Pavilion at the Park @ Beulah the "Charles W. Boso, Jr. Pavilion") was given its reading and public hearing.

Mayor Stage recognized Mr. Boso and his leadership and effort on the Beulah Park project. There was a lot of detail and vision that went into this project and the Pavilion. He said Chuck started with the City 50 years ago and it is important to acknowledge all the things he has done for the City, in this small way.

There being no additional questions or comments, Mr. Berry moved it be approved; seconded by Ms. Burroughs.

Mr. Berry	Yes
Mr. Dew	Yes
Ms. Burroughs	Yes
Ms. Anderson	Yes
Mr. Wilson	Yes
Ms. Houk	Yes
Mr. Holt	Yes

The Chair asked that any new business to be brought before the attention of Council be done so at this time.

The Chair recognized members of Administration and Council for closing comments.

1. Mayor Stage notified Council that he will veto Ordinance C-10-25. He believes it is clear that our community does not want a dispensary at this time. He then reported on events from the last couple of weeks.

2. After closing comments from Council and Administrative staff members, a motion to adjourn was approved by unanimous consent.

Adjourned at 9:19 p.m.

Tami K. Kelly, MMC
Clerk of Council

Christine Houk
President of Council

CITY OF GROVE CITY, OHIO
COUNCIL CAUCUS NOTES

April 07, 2025

Council met at 6:30 p.m. in City Hall, 4035 Broadway.

Ms. Kelly, Clerk of Council, updated Council on finding volunteers to serve on the Town Center Loan Review Committee. Of the names provided, four (4) people have agreed to be considered. The Committee is made up of five (5) members, so one additional person is needed. It was suggested that Mr. Alan Houk be contacted since he was on the original Committee. Ms. Kelly said she would contact him.

Council Members reviewed the Agenda items.

Council retired to the Chambers to begin the Regular meeting.

Tami K. Kelly, MMC
Clerk of Council

Christine Houk
President of Council